Notes workshop

**Recognition & Rewards Festival 2024**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title session** | Pub Quiz: How Evidence-Based is your Vision on Team Science? |
| **Speakers** | Caspar van Lissa, Inge van de Ven, Marjan van Hunnik |
| **Name reporter** | Marjan van Hunnik |
| **In short: Can you give a short summary of the discussions/talk (ca. 300 words)** | Tilburg University has asked Caspar van Lissa to make a study of scientific literature on Team Science, which resulted in the report [Towards a Vision for Team Science at Tilburg University](https://osf.io/preprints/psyarxiv/jsbuv). In this report a broader definition on team science is presented, than found in literature. There are recommendations on how to support or stimulate Team Science, and what the pro’s and cons of Team Science are.  Via the link [Team\_Science RR festival](https://cjvanlissa.github.io/team_science/team_science_rr_festival.html#/title-slide) you can read the slides we presented, including the questions of the Pub Quiz and the answers that were found in the scientific literature on Team Science.  We asked four teams of participants, who were composed of both scientists and policy makers from different organizations, to formulate the right answers to the questions. The teams were asked to ‘peer review’ the answers the other teams had given. In the end, the winning team was awarded a gold (chocolate) medal.  Of course, there was some discussion on our definition on team science. In the peer review, the most ‘points’ were given to the team that had formulated the following definition: ‘Team Science is a collaboration of people with the same goals, where different qualities and perspectives are combined. This forms the basis of education, impact, research, and leadership’.  The teams collectively proved that together, they were better able to solve difficult questions than individuals would have had, because they were capitalizing each other’s strengths and perspectives. |
| **What are the main take aways of this sessions?** | Even an occasional team consisting of people who did not know each other before, can win a Pub Quiz.  The participants liked the Pub Quiz as a fun format for actively imparting knowledge to the participants, as you can read below. |
| **What were some interesting quotes?** | On the question How to promote more team science? We got the answer: ‘**More Pub Quizzes**!  And of course funding, leading by example, role models, training how to work in teams, and incentives on teams instead of only on individuals’ |
| **Something else you want to mention?** |  |